

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,805	10/02/2003	Charles S. Moreman	A-9097	9650
5642 SCIENTIFIC-A	7590 07/20/2007 ATLANTA, INC.	EXAMINER		
	JAL PROPÉRTY DEPA	DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/20/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail@sciatl.com

- <u>-</u> -		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/677,80 ⁵	MOREMAN, CHARLES S.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William J. Deane	2614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This		·			
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·/ .	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		2 3.0.1 2.0.			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)	пент Аррисатіоп			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The amendment filed 04/27/2007 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: predetermined frequency (claim 5), command messages (claim 3) and current status indicating one of receiving telephony services from the first or the second telephony provider (claim 6).

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 5-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed. had possession of the claimed invention.

Specifically, predetermined frequency (claim 5), command messages (claim 3) and current status indicating one of receiving telephony services from the first or the second telephony provider (claim 6) are not found in the Detailed Description part of the Specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,805

Art Unit: 2614

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 5 – 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, because of the above the examiner is left to guess as to applicant's intentions with regard to these limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 2, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/013115 (Yip et al.) in view of EP 1 124 364 (Vdolek).

With respect to claims 1-2, 4 and 7 Yip et al. teach a terminal comprising a remote-switching means for transferring services, wherein the remote-switching means allows the transference of telephony signals from a first wiring system of a first telephony provider to a second wiring system of a second telephony provider. See Abstract, Figs. 1-2, paragraphs 0002, 0005, 0007, 0013 - 0015, 0019 - 0021 and 0028 - 0030.

In addition, with respect to claim 2, note Background of the Invention and paragraph 0023.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,805

Art Unit: 2614

What Yip et al. do not specifically teach is that the providers can be cable communications providers. However, Vdolek teaches such a limitations in a similar system as Yip et al. Note Col. 8, paragraph 0033 of Vdolek. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a cable communications system as taught by Vdolek into the Yip et al. device, as such would only entail the substitution of one well-known wiring system for another.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,805

Art Unit: 2614

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

08July2007

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINED